**LA County CERF Governance Committee Meeting Notes (6/21)**

CERF HRTC Governance Conversation Roadmap

**Determined Shared Values:** Review both the values in the CERF SFP and values as expressed by members in previous conversation

**Territory:** What are the areas of governance to be undertaken. What are the decisions that need to be made?

**Group Dialogue:** In shaping a model, we will start with a series of questions that asks the group to consider priorities and test assumptions.

**Model Shaping:** What might a model look like and what are the roles, responsibilities, and communications structure we would expect.

**Effectiveness:** Barometer of how to measure the values to determine if we are hitting the mark based on the structure 🡪 How can we create a method where this roadmap is continuously improved?

Where do we insert evaluations for continuous improvement (reallocation, reprioritization, etc.)? 🡪 This continual evaluation will enable this group to be able to pivot on demand.

Values: Creating definitions to make sure we are aligned

* Equity
* Sustainability
* Job Quality
* Economic Competitiveness
* Resilience
* Important values that governance should have
* Transparency
* Inclusion
* Accountability
* Confidence in Structure
* Unheard voices in decision-making

How do we structure the governance model to ensure that community voice is uplifted and our engagement strategy is not lip service.

How can we ensure collaborative practices when it comes to “inclusion”? Must be important that this group defines it appropriately and sets expectations.

Potential Decision Points

* Regional Priorities – what kind of projects will we prioritize for Phase 2?
* Budget
* Goals / Types of Data Analysis (RD Committee)
* Outreach Strategy (OE Committee)

Jamboard Exercise

* Align on consensus building and structure: Some level of voting will be needed. Voting system based on the stakeholders that have been identified by the SGV. Consensus among different groups?
* Regional representation is also important – resources to parts of LA that are often not given resources.
* Executive Committee vs. Stewardship Group 🡪 How do we ensure we have a structure to ensure that the full group is involved. Executive committee can not take an action without being ratified by the full group.
* Implementation vs. Decision making – implementing what the larger group has decided to happen.
* How quickly do we need to make decisions? – the process of trying to get the larger body to make decisions and engaging everyone.

How does group develop trust – system of checks and balances to allow the process to go through fluidly 🡪 **Accountability + efficiency.**

What does membership look like (formal vs. informal) etc.

* Always integrate community voice – continue to include feedback as we’re moving through the process.
* Possible structure of possible subcommittee to create due diligence
* Shaping Shared Power: Flow of information back and forth in and out of the circle. How to balance formal v informal partnerships to capture all voices

Questions to Consider

The group should Include language in the governance structure in its authority in directing fiscal agent on how the budget should be allocated – who is the fiscal agent going to be taking instructions from?

There should be systems of uniformity and consistency around the different bubbles – (subcontracts).

Informal will be the communities/population that we most need to serve – will not always be able to join the conversation – will be up to organizations to represent those communities that we are looking to convey those voices and interests.

Who are they key stakeholders? If there is nobody, we need to find someone that can represent that group.

Is there a way to provide support and create an inclusive space to encourage them to participate?